

UNITED STATES DE $\beta_{\rm tot}$ /MENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
07/586	,534 09/	/21/90 SCHIMMEL		Р	MIT5261	
		E	\/AF	DDGU ICI	1 0	

18N1/0728

PATREA L. PABST KILPATRICK & CODY 100 PEACHTREE STREET, STE. 3100 ATLANTA, GA 30303

YARBROMGH, A						
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					
1807	17					
DATE MAILED:						

07/28/93

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: The extended to run			ADVISORITA	1011011					
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of sime must be obtained by filing a petition under 97 CFR 1.195(a), the proposed response and also the date on which the response, the petition, and the ten have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the date on which the response, the petition, and the ten have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in 5) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a),	☐ TH	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:							
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a) Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 48 December of the final	a) 🔽	is extended to run	or continues to run	3	from the date of the final rejection				
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the peritod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee to pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a), Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a), Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a), Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 7 /// 3 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims allowed: Claims repeated: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 4 for extraction and 4 execution 4 for exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The primatry examiner.	b) 🗌								
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed		The date on which the response, the per purposes of determining the period of e	etition, and the fee have extension and the corres	been filed is to conding amou	he date of the response and also the date for the nt of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR				
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 1. Newly proposed or amended daims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 2. Newly proposed or amended daims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims ejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the careful references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Stru	☐ A	opellant's Brief is due in accordance with							
a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The The Chinester of the Ch			led 7/11/93 wance:	has been con	sidered with the following effect, but it is not deemed				
presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, whibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The Site of the final proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA EUROSES HARBOUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER	t. 🗆	The proposed amendments to the claim	and /or specification wil	not be entere	d and the final rejection stands because:				
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:			nder 37 CFR 1.116(b) w	hy the propose	ed amendment is necessary and was not earlier				
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		b. They raise new issues that would	require further consider	ation and/or se	earch. (See Note).				
appeal. e.		c. They raise the issue of new matter	er. (See Note).						
NOTE:			e application in better fo	rm for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
2. Newly proposed or amended claims		e. They present additional claims w	ithout cancelling a corre	sponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.				
the non-allowable claims. John the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Site of the function and designing drugs for it. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA BURGESE TARRADUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER		NOTE:							
the non-allowable claims. John the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Site of the function and designing drugs for it. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA BURGES TARRIQUEH PRIMARY EXAMINER									
the non-allowable claims. Jupon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Site of the function and designing drugs for it. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA BURGES TARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER									
be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Site of the function and designing drugs for it. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. MELIA EUROSES TARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER	2. 🗌		would	be allowed if	submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling				
Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional structure of the site of the function and designing drugs for it. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA EUROSES TARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER	3. 🗌		amendment will be	entered 🗌 v	vill not be entered and the status of the claims will				
Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional structure of the site of the function and designing drugs for it. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA EUROSES TARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER		Claims allowed							
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional structure of the site of the function and designing drugs for it. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA EUROSES TARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER									
 Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Site of the function and designing drugs for it. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction □ has □ has not been approved by the examiner. Other AMELIA EUROSES TARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER		Claims rejected:							
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Site of the function and designing drugs for it. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA EUROSES FARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER		However;							
references are directed to determining the 3-dimensional Structure of the Site of the function and designing drugs for it. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA PURGED TARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER		Applicant's response has overcome	the following rejection(s):					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. AMELIA BURGES FARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER	4. 🗹	references are direc-	ted to determ	ninina	the 3-dimensional structure				
Other AMELIA EURGESB KARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER	5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be consid							
Other AMELIA EURGESB KARBROUGH PRIMARY EXAMINER		annonnal drawing assessment C		und bu the co	1 A: R We heare wh				
PRIMARY EXAMINER	_	- Carrette C							
	∐ Otł	ner							

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)